

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| 10/600,682 | 06/20/2003 | Don R. Burns | LA-5336-111US/10305919 | 6735 |
| 167 FUI BRIGHT | 7590 02/13/2007 AND JAWORSKI LLP | | EXAMINER | |
| 555 S. FLOWER STREET, 41ST FLOOR | | WUJCIAK, ALFRED J | | |
| LOS ANGELES, CA 90071 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | 3632 | 3632 | |
| | | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 02/13/2007 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | · | | |
|----------------------------------|---|---|---|--------------------------------|
| تسمده. | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | Advisory Action | 10/600,682 | BURNS, DON R. | |
| | Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief | Examiner | Art Unit | · |
| | | Alfred Joseph Wujciak III | 3632 | |
| | The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | · | | Iross |
| THE | REPLY FILED 24 January 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A | | | ress |
| | The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on | | | indonment of |
| | this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: | wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mu | idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C | nce, which FR 41.31; or (3) |
| | The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date | - | | |
| D) | The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la | ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing | g date of the final rejecti | on. |
| | Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 | | FIRST REPLY WAS F | ILED WITHIN |
| have under set fo may r | sions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sorth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later educe any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) ICE OF APPEAL | on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing da | of the fee. The appropri | ate extension fee |
| | The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp | pliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be | filed within two month | s of the date of |
| | filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of th | e appeal. Since |
| ^ N | a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed NDMENTS | within the time period set forth in 3 | 7 CFR 41.37(a). | |
| 3. · | | but prior to the date of filing a brief | will not be entered by | 0001180 |
| э | (a) They raise new issues that would require further co | nsideration and/or search (see NO | TE below): | ecause |
| | (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo | w); | • | |
| | (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or | tter form for appeal by materially re | ducing or simplifying | the issues for |
| | (d) They present additional claims without canceling a | | ected claims. | |
| . — | NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | | | |
| | The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13 | 21. See attached Notice of Non-Co | mpliant Amendment | (PTOL-324). |
| 5. | , | | | |
| o. 🗀 | Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). | | | |
| 7. 🔀 | For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provide status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 15,24,26 and 27. | ☐ will not be entered, or b) ☒ wil vided below or appended. | l be entered and an e | explanation of |
| | Claim(s) objected to: | | | |
| | Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4,6-11,13,14,25 and 28</u> . | | | |
| ΔEFII | Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: DAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| | The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu | t before or on the date of filing a No | ntice of Anneal will no | t be entered |
| | because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | d sufficient reasons why the affidav | it or other evidence is | necessary and |
| | The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. So | al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1 | ls to provide a |
| REQ | UEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | | - | |
| 11. 🗆 | The request for reconsideration has been considered bu | t does NOT place the application in | condition for allowar | nce because: |
| | | | | |

A. JOSEPH WUJCIAK III PRIMARY EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

Alfred Joseph Wujciak III Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3632

PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

13. Other: ____.

US Patent # 6,484,944 to Hokuogh still reads on claims 1-4, 6-11, 13-14, 25 and 28 and Hokuogh's invention has the ability to provide exerting axial pressure on a radial flange to prevent a supporting arm from unwanted rotation relative to a mounting plate and a cover.